IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION

NO. 5:09-CR-216-1FL

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v. DANIEL PATRICK BOYD, a/k/a "Saifullah;" Defendant.)))))) ORDER)
This matter comes now before the co	ourt upon motion of defendant Daniel Patrick Boyd filed
March 25, 2019, on what appears to be a ja	ilhouse form, circulated by another who calls himself a
"Human Rights Activist." The movant com	plains some injustice is suspected to have been inflicted
on substantive and/or procedural grounds	, and, because of this, he is entitled to disclosure or
specified case documents. It reads in open	ing part:
The state of the s	A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF

(DE 2305, p. 1). The March 25, 2019, motion now before this court reads in conclusory part:

Federal prisoners may obtain transcripts and other documents pursuant to the Court Reporter Act, 28 U.S.C. section 753(f). The claims raised in this motion meet clear standard for not being frivolous and the documents to be decided. Furthermore, the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. section 552, requires that the government to disclose all documents. The movant is financially incapable of procuring these documents and is in need of them in order to establish the level of injustice perpetrated.

Signed on 3/19/2019, x Manual Sage , Pro Se Name: Pariez 3040 Inmate ID # 5/765 - 056

FCI or USP (Circled) ALENWOOD FCC

WHITE DEER PA 2000

#justice4all

(DE 2305, p. 3). This motion comes on the heels of an earlier, similarly formulaic request, received in the clerk's office February 26, 2019, This request read:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF THE ENSTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CARCLINA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff;

DANIEL BOYL

Defendant:

Criminal No. 5: 09-08-216-1FL

Trepued

MOVANT REQUEST FOR GRAND JURY BALLOT OR RECORD, COURT DOCKET SHEET AND SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

Attention Court of the Clerk, please note this is an official affidavit Notice Pursuant to Rule 6(f) of Federal Rules Criminal Procedure to issue Movant Grand Jury Ballot or Record for inspection, Court Docket Sheet and Superseding Indictment. Movant was never accorded the right to inspect the grand jury ballot or record including the number of jurors concurrence in finding the indictment, or if such record was properly maintained.

I respectfully request the Court to grant this request.

Pro Se

DE 2302). Interpreting the February 26, 2019, missive as a request for a copy of the docket sheet and superceding indictment, the clerk promptly responded, informing Mr. Boyd that he would be required to pay a total of \$28.00 for these items. (DE 2303). Shortly thereafter, Mr. Boyd's wife

wrote to the clerk, reiterating her husband's request for the docket sheet and superceding indictment,

and enclosing a money order on his behalf in the amount of \$28.00. (DE 2304).

Around two weeks later, payment having been made for the docket sheet and a copy of the

superceding indictment, mailed by the clerk to Mr. Boyd, the instant motion appeared. (DE 2305).

It states on its face, as noted above, that it was prepared by one Themba B. Sanganeza, whom the

government identifies in its response as a convicted fraudster incarcerated with Mr. Boyd,

providing, as is plain, inaccurate legal advice to him. (DE 2311).

The court is mindful of those who prey upon their fellow inmates to gain influence or favor

by promoting futile legal strategies. As Mr. Boyd is aware, he can receive upon payment copies

of court records. Without more, as the government notes, he is not entitled to disclosure of grand

jury records.

The judgment against Mr. Boyd, long ago made final, reflects on its face Mr. Boyd's receipt

of a well-deserved substantial cut in time for his cooperation with and assistance to the government

in this very serious case. The Federal Bureau of Prisons reports this date that Mr. Boyd, who came

into the custody of the United States of America on July 27, 2009, is anticipated to be released April

7, 2025.

There being no basis in law or fact for the relief requested, without more, the motion, (DE

2305), must be and is DENIED.

SO ORDERED, this the 17th day of April, 2019.